

## Business Law Today, The Essentials, Text & Summarized Cases 13th Edition Roger LeRoy Miller

SVP, Higher Education & Skills Product: Erin Joyner

VP, Higher Education & Skills Product: Michael Schenk

Product Director: Joe Sabatino

Associate Product Manager: Abbie Schultheis

Senior Content Manager: Julia Chase

Cengage Subject Matter Expert: Lisa Elliott

Learning Designers: Cazzie Reyes and Liz Harnage

Digital Delivery Lead: Steven McMillian

Product Assistant: Nick Perez

.....

Marketing Director: Kristen Hurd

Marketing Manager: Andrew Stock

Intellectual Property Analyst: Ashley Maynard

Intellectual Property Project Manager: Nick Barrows

Production Service: SPi Global

Designer: Erin Griffin

Cover Image Credits: Kolonko/ShutterStock.com

Interior Image Credits: iStockPhoto.com/
metamorworks; Alexander Supertramp/
ShutterStock.com; Kolonko/ShutterStock.com;
silvae/Shutterstock.com; Orhan Cam/Shutterstock.
com; Kozlik/Shutterstock.com; Hekla/Shutterstock.
com; Kamil Fazrin Rauf/Shutterstock.com; Artem
Samokhvalov/Shutterstock.com; Yuriy Kulik/
Shutterstock.com; winui/Shutterstock.com;
Creative Images/Shutterstock.com; iDEARReplay/
Shutterstock.com; Gary Lanfer/Shutterstock.com;

Rawpixel.com/Shutterstock.com

© 2022, 2020 Cengage Learning, Inc.

WCN: 02-300

Unless otherwise noted, all content is © Cengage.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this work covered by the copyright herein may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, except as permitted by U.S. copyright law, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

For product information and technology assistance, contact us at Cengage Customer & Sales Support, 1-800-354-9706 or support.cengage.com.

For permission to use material from this text or product, submit all requests online at **www.cengage.com/permissions.** 

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020949663

Soft-cover Edition ISBN: 978-0-357-63522-3 Loose-leaf Edition ISBN: 978-0-357-63523-0

#### Cengage

200 Pier 4 Boulevard Boston, MA 02210 USA

Cengage is a leading provider of customized learning solutions with employees residing in nearly 40 different countries and sales in more than 125 countries around the world. Find your local representative at www.cengage.com.

To learn more about Cengage platforms and services, register or access your online learning solution, or purchase materials for your course, visit **www.cengage.com.** 

Printed in the United States of America Print Number: 01 Print Year: 2021



- 1 Legal and Constitutional Foundations of Business 1
- 2 Courts and Alternative Dispute Resolution 40
- 3 Ethics in Business 74
- 4 Tort Law 102
- 5 Intellectual Property Rights 136
- 6 Internet Law, Social Media, and Privacy 161
- 7 Criminal Law and Cyber Crime 183
- 8 Agreement and Consideration in Contracts 210
- 9 Capacity, Legality, and Enforceability 241
- 10 Contract Performance, Breach, and Remedies 272
- 11 Sales and Lease Contracts 301
- 12 Performance and Breach of Sales and Lease Contracts 330
- 13 Negotiable Instruments 360
- **14** Banking 391

- 15 Creditors' Rights and Bankruptcy 413
- 16 Agency Relationships in Business 447
- 17 Employment Law 475
- 18 The Entrepreneur's Options 507
- **19** Corporations 535
- 20 Investor Protection, Insider Trading, and Corporate Governance 568
- 21 Antitrust Law and Promoting Competition 595
- 22 Consumer Law 617
- 23 Personal Property, Bailments, and Insurance 637
- 24 Real Property and Environmental Law 663
- 25 International and Space Law 693

### **APPENDICES**

- **A** How to Brief Cases and Analyze Case Problems A−1
- **B** Answers to the *Issue Spotters* A–3
- **C** Sample Answers for Business Case Problems with Sample Answer A–7

Glossary G–1 Table of Cases TC–1 Index I–1

Chapter 1		Basic Judicial Requirements	42
		<b>Spotlight on Gucci: Case 2.1:</b> Gucci America, Inc. v. Wang Huoqing	47
Legal and Constitutional Foundations		The State and Federal Court Systems	48
of Business	1	Case 2.2: Oxford Tower Apartments, LP v. Frenchie's Hair Boutique	50
Sources of American Law	2	Following a State Court Case	53
The Common Law	6	<ul> <li>Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Using Social Media for Service of Process</li> </ul>	54
Classifications of Law	9	Case 2.3: Klipsch Group, Inc. v. ePRO E-Commerce Limited	59
The Constitutional Powers of Government	10	Courts Online	62
Case 1.1: Classy Cycles, Inc. v. Panama City Beach	11	Alternative Dispute Resolution	63
Classic Case 1.2: Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States	12	■ Business Web Log: Samsung and Forced Arbitration	66
Business and the Bill of Rights	14	_ business (rep log, sumsaing and coreca rushidation)	
Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Social Media and the Constitution	16	Chapter 3	
<b>Spotlight on Beer Labels: Case 1.3:</b> Bad Frog Brewery, Inc. v. New York State Liquor Authority	19	Ethics in Business	74
<ul> <li>Business Law Analysis: Determining When Public Religious Displays Violate the Establishment Clause</li> </ul>	21	Ethics and the Role of Business	75
Due Process, Equal Protection, and Privacy	22	Business Web Log: Bogus Bank and Credit Card Accounts	
Cybersecurity and the Law: Should Apple Help Law Enforcement?	25	at Wells Fargo Bank	76
Appendix to Chapter 1: Finding and Analyzing the Law	31	Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Should Employees Have a "Right of Disconnecting"?	78
Finding Statutory and Administrative Law	31	Case 3.1: Al-Dabagh v. Case Western Reserve University	80
Finding Case Law	32	Ethical Principles and Philosophies	81
Reading and Understanding Case Law	34	Sources of Ethical Issues in Business Decisions	86
Exhibit 1A–3 A Sample Court Case	38	Case 3.2: Watson Laboratories, Inc. v. State of Mississippi	86
Adelman's Truck Parts Corp. v. Jones Transport	38	Making Ethical Business Decisions	89
		■ Business Law Analysis: Applying the IDDR Framework	93
Chanton 2		Business Ethics on a Global Level	93
Chapter 2		Appendix to Chapter 3	101
Courts and Alternative Dispute		Costco Code of Ethics	101
Resolution	40		
The Judiciary's Role in American Government	41		
■ Landmark in the Law: <i>Marbury v. Madison</i> (1803)	41		

#### Chapter 4 **Chapter 6** 102 Internet Law, Social Media, Tort Law and Privacy 161 102 The Basis of Tort Law **Intentional Torts against Persons** 104 Internet Law 162 ■ Business Law Analysis: Analyzing Intentional Infliction **Spotlight on Internet Porn: Case 6.1:** *Hasbro, Inc. v. Internet* of Emotional Distress Claims 106 Entertainment Group, Ltd. 165 Case 4.1: Sky v. Van Der Westhuizen 108 Copyrights in Digital Information 166 Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: ■ Landmark in the Law: The Digital Millennium Copyright Act 167 Revenge Porn and Invasion of Privacy 111 ■ Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: **Intentional Torts against Property** 115 Riot Games, Inc., Protects Its Online Video Game Copyrights 168 Negligence 117 Case 6.2: BMG Rights Management (US), LLC v. Cox 120 Communications, Inc. ■ Landmark in the Law: *Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Co.* (1928) 169 **Spotlight on the Seattle Mariners: Case 4.2:** *Taylor v. Baseball* Social Media 170 Club of Seattle, L.P. 122 Online Defamation 173 **Strict Liability** 123 ■ Business Law Analysis: Immunity of ISPs under the **Product Liability** 123 Communications Decency Act 175 ■ Business Web Log: Johnson & Johnson Faces Continuing Privacy 175 Lawsuits Over Its Talcum Powder 124 Case 6.3: Campbell v. Facebook, Inc. 176 Case 4.3: Primal Vantage Co. v. O'Bryan 125 ■ Cybersecurity and the Law: The Internet of Things 127 Chapter 7 **Criminal Law and Cyber Crime** 183 **Chapter 5 Intellectual Property Rights Civil Law and Criminal Law** 183 136 **Criminal Liability** 185 **Trademarks** 137 ■ Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Classic Case 5.1: Coca-Cola Co. v. Koke Co. of America 137 Should Bitcoin Be Illegal? 186 ■ Business Web Log: Amazon Faces Fake Products 142 Case 7.1: United States v. Crabtree 186 **Patents** 144 **Types of Crimes** 189 ■ Business Law Analysis: The Impact of Patent Time Limits 144 **Spotlight on White-Collar Crime: Case 7.2:** People v. Sisuphan 192 Copyrights 147 Cybersecurity and the Law: Counter-Strike: Global Offensive 197 Case 5.2: LEGO A/S v. ZURU Inc. 149 **Defenses to Criminal Liability** 199 Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Beyoncé, Sampling, and a \$20 Million Lawsuit 152 **Constitutional Safeguards** 202 Case 5.3: Oracle USA, Inc. v. Rimini Street, Inc. 153 ■ Landmark in the Law: Miranda v. Arizona (1966) 205 **Trade Secrets** 154 International Protections 155

Chapter 8		Performance and Discharge	275
Agreement and Consideration		Business Law Analysis: Determining When a Breach Is Material	280
•	040	Case 10.1: Chalk Supply LLC v. Ribbe Real Estate LLC	281
in Contracts	210	Damages	285
An Overview of Contract Law	210	Cybersecurity and the Law: Arby's Restaurant Group, Inc.	286
Case 8.1: Credible Behavioral Health, Inc. v. Johnson	213	Case 10.2: HDAV Outdoor, LLC v. Red Square Holdings, LLC	289
Agreement	218	<b>Spotlight on Liquidated Damages: Case 10.3:</b> Kent State University v. Fo	
Classic Case 8.2: Lucy v. Zehmer	219	Equitable Remedies	292
■ Business Law Analysis: Offers of a Reward	222	Recovery Based on Quasi Contract	295
Adapting the Law to the Online Environment:			
Can Your E-Mails Create a Valid Contract?	224		
Consideration	226	Chapter 11	
■ Landmark in the Law: <i>Hamer v. Sidway</i> (1891)	227	Sales and Lease Contracts	301
E-Contracts	230	Sales and Lease Contracts	<b>J</b> U I
Case 8.3: Bailey v. Kentucky Lottery Corp.	232	Landmark in the Law: The Uniform Commercial Code	302
		The Scope of Articles 2 and 2A	302
Chapter 9		Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Taxing Web Purchases	304
		The Formation of Sales and Lease Contracts	306
Capacity, Legality, and Enforceability	241	Case 11.1: Toll Processing Services, LLC v. Kastalon, Inc.	307
Contractual Capacity	242	■ Business Law Analysis: Additional Terms between Merchants	311
Legality	245	Classic Case 11.2: Jones v. Star Credit Corp.	316
<ul><li>Business Law Analysis: Determining If a Contract with an</li></ul>	243	Title and Risk of Loss	317
Unlicensed Party Is Enforceable	246	Case 11.3: Total Quality Logistics, LLC v. Balance Transportation, LLC	321
Case 9.1: Kennedy v. Shave Barber Co.	248	Contracts for the International Sale of Goods	323
Voluntary Consent	252		
Case 9.2: McCullough v. Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co.	256		
Adapting the Law to the Online Environment:		Chapter 12	
The Problem of "Contract Cheating"	259		
The Writing Requirement	261	Performance and Breach of Sales	
Case 9.3: Sloop v. Kiker	261	and Lease Contracts	330
		Performance Obligations	331
Chantar 10		Case 12.1: All the Way Towing, LLC v. Bucks County International, Inc.	333
Chapter 10		Remedies for Breach	338
Contract Performance, Breach,		Spotlight on Baseball Cards: Case 12.2: Fitl v. Strek	345
and Remedies	272	Warranties	346
		Classic Case 12.3: Webster v. Blue Ship Tea Room, Inc.	350
Third Party Rights	273	Business Law Analysis: Implied Warranties	351

Chapter 13		The Bankruptcy Code	422
Negotiable Instruments	360	Business Web Log: Online Competition, Bankruptcy, and the "Retail Apocalypse"	422
Formation of Negotiable Instruments	361	<ul> <li>Landmark in the Law: The Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act</li> </ul>	423
Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Pay with Your Smartphone	363	Chapter 7—Liquidation ■ Business Law Analysis: Violations of the Automatic Stay	424 428
Case 13.1: OneWest Bank, FSB v. Nunez	367		435
■ Business Law Analysis: Deciding If an Instrument Is Negotiable	368	Classification 11 Paymenting	
Case 13.2: Collins Asset Group, LLC v. Alialy	369	Chapter 11—Reorganization	436
Transfer of Instruments	372	Bankruptcy Relief under Chapter 13 and Chapter 12	439
Holder in Due Course (HDC)	374	and chapter 12	737
Case 13.3: Jarrell v. Conerly	376		
Signature and Warranty Liability	378	Chapter 16	
Defenses, Limitations, and Discharge	383	Agency Relationships in Business	447
Chantar 14		Agency Law	448
Chapter 14		Formation of an Agency	451
Banking	391	Duties of Agents and Principals	453
Checks and the Bank-Customer Relationship	392	<b>Spotlight on Taser International: Case 16.1:</b> <i>Taser International, Inc. v. Ward</i>	454
The Bank's Duty to Honor Checks	394	Agent's Authority	457
Case 14.1: Legg v. West Bank	395	Case 16.2: Dearborn West Village Condominium	137
Case 14.2: Horton v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	398	Association v. Makki	459
The Bank's Duty to Accept Deposits	400	Liability in Agency Relationships	460
■ Landmark in the Law: Check Clearing for the 21st Century		■ Business Law Analysis: Liability of Disclosed Principals	461
Act (Check 21)	401	■ Landmark in the Law: The Doctrine of	
Electronic Fund Transfers	403	Respondeat Superior	464
Case 14.3: Binns v. Truist Bank	405	Case 16.3: Simon v. Farm Bureau Insurance Co.	465
Banking in the Digital Age	406	Termination of an Agency	467
■ Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Open Banking	407		
Chapter 15			
Creditors' Rights and Bankruptcy	413		
aws Assisting Creditors	413		
<b>Spotlight on Wedding Rings: Case 15.1:</b> Royal Jewelers, Inc. v. Light	414		
Case 15.2: Lewis v. Chris Carbine, Inc.	417		

vii

Contents

Chapter 17		Formation and Powers	542
	475	Cybersecurity and the Law: The CLOUD Act	547
Employment Law	4/3	Directors and Officers	549
Employment at Will	476	Business Law Analysis: Piercing the Corporate Veil	549
Wages, Hours, and Leave	477	Classic Case 19.3: Guth v. Loft, Inc.	553
Health, Safety, and Income Security	480	Shareholders	555
<b>Case 17.1:</b> Packers Sanitation Services, Inc. v. Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission	481	Major Business Forms Compared	560
Immigration Law	485	Chapter 20	
<b>Employment Discrimination</b>	487		
Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Hiring Discrimination Based on Social Media Posts	491	Investor Protection, Insider Trading, and Corporate Governance	568
■ Business Law Analysis: Retaliation Claims	495	•	560
Case 17.2: Franchina v. City of Providence	496	Securities Act of 1933	569
Case 17.3: Kassa v. Synovus Financial Corp.	500	<ul> <li>Case 20.1: Securities and Exchange Commission v. Scoville</li> <li>■ Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: Investment Crowdfunding—Regulations and Restrictions</li> </ul>	569 573
		■ Landmark in the Law: Changes to Regulation A: "Reg A+"	574
Chapter 18		Securities Exchange Act of 1934	577
The Entrepreneur's Options	507	<b>Classic Case 20.2:</b> Securities and Exchange Commission v. Texas Gulf Sulphur Co.	579
Sole Proprietorships	508	Case 20.3: Singer v. Reali	583
Case 18.1: Port Orchard Airport, Inc. v. Wagner	508	State Securities Laws	585
<ul><li>Adapting the Law to the Online Environment: "Doing Business As" a Sole Proprietor</li></ul>	510	Corporate Governance	586
General Partnerships	511	Chantar 21	
Case 18.2: Guenther v. Ryerson	516	Chapter 21	
Other Types of Partnerships	517	Antitrust Law and Promoting	
Limited Liability Companies	520	Competition	595
Case 18.3: Nesset, Inc. v. Jones	521	•	
Business Law Analysis: When Will a Court Order the	50.4	The Sherman Antitrust Act	596
Dissolution of an LLC?	524	■ Landmark in the Law: The Sherman Antitrust Act	596
Franchises	525	Case 21.1: United States v. Vega-Martínez	598
Cybersecurity and the Law: Brinker International, Inc.	528	Section 1 of the Sherman Act	598
		Section 2 of the Sherman Act	602
Chapter 10		The Clayton Act	605
Chapter 19 Corporations	535	<ul> <li>Adapting the Law to the Online Environment:</li> <li>Big Tech's Monopoly Problem</li> </ul>	605
-	<b>303</b>	Case 21.2: Candelore v. Tinder, Inc.	607
Nature and Classification	535	Enforcement and Exemptions	609
Case 19.1: Wulf v. Bravo Brio Restaurant Group, Inc.	537	Case 21.3: TransWeb, LLC v. 3M Innovative Properties Co.	610
Case 19.2: Greenfield v. Mandalay Shores Community Association	541	U.S. Antitrust Laws in the Global Context	611

Chapter 22		Spotlight on Sales of Haunted Houses: Case 24.2:	672
Consumer Law	617	Stambovsky v. Ackley ■ Business Law Analysis: When Possession of Property Is Not "Adverse"	675
Advertising, Marketing, Sales, and Labeling	618	Case 24.3: A2 Creative Group, LLC v. Anderson	676
Spotlight Case 22.1: POM Wonderful, LLC v. Federal		Landlord-Tenant Relationships	678
Trade Commission	619	Protecting the Environment	680
Case 22.2: Haywood v. Massage Envy Franchising, LLC Protection of Health and Safety	621 625	-	
Credit Protection	628		
Case 22.3: Manuel v. Merchants and Professional Bureau. Inc.	631	Chapter 25	
Case 22.3. Manacry. Merchanis and Froicssional Bareau, Inc.	031	International and Space Law	693
Chapter 23		International Law	693
Personal Property, Bailments,		Case 25.1: Rubin v. Islamic Republic of Iran	697
and Insurance	637	Business Law Analysis: Sovereign Immunity Claims	698
and modalice	037	Doing Business Internationally	699
Personal Property versus Real Property	637	Regulation of Specific Business Activities	701
Acquiring Ownership of Personal Property  Adapting the Law to the Online Environment:	639	<b>Case 25.2:</b> Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co. v. International Trade Commission	703
The Exploding World of Digital Property	639	U.S. Laws in a Global Context	705
<ul> <li>Business Law Analysis: Effective Gift of a Brokerage Account</li> </ul>	641	<b>Spotlight on International Torts: Case 25.3:</b> Daimler AG v. Bauman	706
Classic Case 23.1: In re Estate of Piper	642	Space Law	707
Mislaid, Lost, and Abandoned Property	644	Cybersecurity and the Law: Safe Satellites	708
Case 23.2: Zephier v. Agate	646	, ,	
Bailments	646		
Case 23.3: Zissu v. IH2 Property Illinois, L.P.	651	Appendices	
Insurance	653	A How to Brief Cases and Analyze Case Problems	A-1
Chapter 24		B Answers to the Issue Spotters	A-3
Real Property and Environmental		C Sample Answers for Business Case Problems with	
Law	663	Sample Answer	A-7
The Nature of Real Property	663		
Ownership Interests and Leases	665	Glossary G–1	
Case 24.1: In the Matter of the Estate of Nelson	666	Table of Cases TC−1	
Transfer of Ownership	671	Index I–1	

Contents

iх

he present landscape poses numerous challenges for students planning careers in the business world. It also offers limitless opportunities. To take advantage of those opportunities, prospective entrepreneurs—whether they aspire to work on Wall Street or Main Street—need to have a solid understanding of business law and the legal environment of corporate America. The most up-to-date text on the market, *Business Law Today: The Essentials*, Thirteenth Edition, provides the perfect platform to achieve this goal.

Instructors have come to rely on the coverage, accuracy, and applicability of *Business Law Today*: *The Essentials*. This best-selling text engages your students, solidifies their understanding of legal concepts, and provides the best teaching tools available. Working on this edition, my objective was to make its pages more interesting, to the point, and visually compelling than ever before. I put particular focus on pedagogical devices within the text that focus on legal, ethical, and corporate issues, while never losing sight of the course's core curriculum.

The Thirteenth Edition incorporates the latest legal developments, from United States Supreme Court decisions to state-level legislation. It also includes nearly thirty new and updated features and more than twenty new cases from 2019 and 2020, dozens of new *Examples* and *Case Examples*, along with an extensive array of exhibits, *Focus Questions*, margin definitions, and case problems.

## **New and Updated Features**

The Thirteenth Edition of *Business Law Today: The Essentials* is filled with stimulating new and updated features designed to cover current high-interest legal topics. Nearly every chapter in the text contains one or more the following special features.

- 1. Entirely new *Cybersecurity and the Law* features shed light on the risks that go with the many rewards offered by technology in the global marketplace. In selected chapters, these features recount how some of the most important brands in corporate America are protecting themselves and their customers from the threats lurking in cyber space. Some of the topics include:
  - Should Apple Help Law Enforcement? (Chapter 1)
  - Counter Strike: Global Offensive (Chapter 7)
  - The CLOUD Act (Chapter 19)
- 2. Entirely New Hypotheticals in selected chapter introductions provide a real-world link that generates student interest and highlights specific legal concepts that will be discussed in the chapter. These hypotheticals—often based on real cases or business situations—help to introduce and illustrate legal issues facing managers, companies, and even industries.
- 3. *Business Web Log* features underscore the importance of the text material to realworld businesses. Each of these features discusses a major U.S. company that is engaged in a dispute involving a topic covered in the chapter. Some topics include:
  - Samsung and Forced Arbitration (Chapter 2)

- Johnson & Johnson Faces Continuing Lawsuits Over Its Talcum Powder (Chapter 4)
- Online Competition, Bankruptcy, and the "Retail Apocalypse" (Chapter 15)
- 4. Adapting the Law to the Online Environment features examine cutting-edge cyberlaw topics, such as:
  - The Problem of "Contract Cheating" (Chapter 9)
  - Open Banking (Chapter 14)
  - Big Tech's Monopoly Problem (Chapter 21)
- 5. *Business Law Analysis* features appear in numerous chapters of the text. These features are useful tools to help students master the legal analysis skills that they will need to answer questions and case problems in the book, on exams, and in everyday business situations. Topics include:
  - The Impact of Patent Time Limits (Chapter 5)
  - Determining When a Breach Is Material (Chapter 10)
  - Additional Terms Between Merchants (Chapter 11)
- 6. Ethical Issue features focus on the ethical aspects of a topic being discussed in order to emphasize that ethics is an integral part of a business law course. Examples include:
  - Even Though Corporations Can Restrict the Speech of Their Employees, Should They Do So? (Chapter 1)
  - Does the Gig Economy Take Advantage of Independent Contractors? (Chapter 16)
  - Does Corporate America Need Gender Quotas for Boards of Directors? (Chapter 20)
- 7. *Landmark in the Law* features discuss a landmark case, statute, or development that has significantly affected business law. Examples include:
  - Palsgraf v. Long Island Railroad Co. (Chapter 4)
  - The Digital Millennium Copyright Act (Chapter 6)
  - The Sherman Antitrust Act (Chapter 21)

## **Making Ethical Business Decisions—The IDDR Approach**

The Thirteenth Edition of *Business Law Today: The Essentials* boasts its own framework for helping students (and businesspersons) make ethical decisions—entitled **the IDDR** approach, which is introduced in Chapter 3, Ethics in Business. This systematic approach provides students with a clear step-by-step process to analyze the legal and ethical implications of decisions that arise in everyday business operations. The IDDR approach uses four logical steps:

- Step 1: Inquiry
- Step 2: Discussion
- Step 3: Decision
- Step 4: Review

Students can easily remember the first letter of each step by using the phrase "I Desire to Do Right." The material in Chapter 3 details the goals of each IDDR step and then provides a sample scenario to show students how to apply this new approach to ethical decision making. In addition, Chapter 3 has been reworked to be more current and practical, reducing the amount of theoretical ethical principles it presents.

After Chapter 3, to reinforce the application of the IDDR approach, students are asked to utilize its steps when answering each chapter's *A Question of Ethics* problem. The Thirteenth Edition retains the *Ethical Issue* features in most chapters, several of which have been refreshed with timely topics involving the ever-evolving technologies and trends in business.

#### **New Cases and Case Problems**

The Thirteenth Edition of *Business Law Today; The Essentials*, has new cases from 2019 and 2020 in nearly every chapter. The new cases have been carefully selected to illustrate important points of law and to be of high interest to students and instructors. I have made it a point to find recent cases that enhance learning and are straightforward enough for business law students to understand. At the end of each chapter, additional scenarios are presented as case problems that require students to apply concepts learned from the text.

Certain cases and case problems have been carefully chosen as good teaching cases and are designated as *Spotlight Cases* and *Spotlight Case Problems*. Some examples include *Spotlight on Gucci, Spotlight on Beer Labels, Spotlight on Nike,* and *Spotlight on the Seattle Mariners*. Instructors will find these *Spotlight* decisions useful to illustrate the legal concepts under discussion, and students will enjoy studying the cases because they involve interesting and memorable facts. Other cases have been chosen as *Classic Cases* because they establish a legal precedent in a particular area of law.

Each case concludes with a section, called *Critical Thinking*, which includes at least one question. Each question is labeled *Ethical*, *Economic*, *Legal Environment*, *Political*, *Social*, or *What If the Facts Were Different?* In addition, *Classic Cases* include an *Impact of This Case on Today's Law* section that clarifies how the case has affected the legal environment. Suggested answers to all case-ending questions can be found in the *Answers Manual* for this text.

## Many New Highlighted and Numbered Case Examples

Many instructors use cases and examples to illustrate how the law applies to business. This edition of *Business Law Today*: *The Essentials* offers hundreds of highlighted and consecutively numbered *Examples* and *Case Examples*. *Examples* illustrate how the law applies in a specific situation, and *Case Examples* present the facts and issues of an actual case and then describe the court's decision and rationale.

The Thirteenth Edition also includes *Spotlight Case Examples*, which deal with especially high-interest cases, and *Classic Case Examples*, which discuss older, landmark decisions. The numbered *Examples* and various types of *Case Examples* are integrated throughout the text to help students better understand how courts apply legal principles in the real world.

## **Critical Thinking and Legal Reasoning Elements**

For this edition of *Business Law Today*: *The Essentials* I have included a discussion of legal reasoning in Chapter 1. The *Business Law Analysis* features that can be found throughout the text emphasize legal reasoning skills as well. *Critical Thinking* questions conclude most of the features and cases in this text, and at the end of each chapter is a *Debate This* question that requires students to think critically about the rationale underlying the law on a particular topic.

The chapter-ending materials also include a separate section of questions that focus on critical thinking and writing. This section always includes a *Time-Limited Group Assignment* and may also include a *Critical Legal Thinking* question requiring students to think critically about some aspect of the law discussed in the chapter or a *Business Law Writing* question requiring students to compose a written response.

Answers to all *Critical Thinking* questions, as well as to the *Business Scenarios and Case Problems* at the end of every chapter, are presented in the *Answers Manual*. In addition, the answer to each *Business Case Problem with Sample Answer* appears in *Appendix C*.

## **Other Pedagogical Devices Within Each Chapter**

- *Focus Questions* (questions listed at the beginning of each chapter and repeated in the margins of the text provide a framework of main chapter concepts for the student).
- Margin definitions of each boldfaced Key Term.
- Know This (margin features).
- Exhibits (in most chapters).
- Photographs (with critical-thinking questions).

## **Chapter-Ending Pedagogy**

- Practice and Review (in every chapter).
- *Debate This* (a statement or question at the end of *Practice and Review*).
- *Key Terms* (with appropriate page references).
- *Chapter Summary* (in table format).
- *Issue Spotters* (in every chapter, with answers in *Appendix B*).
- Business Scenarios and Case Problems (including in every chapter, a Business Case Problem with Sample Answer that is answered in Appendix C; in selected chapters, a Spotlight Case Problem; and in every chapter, a A Question of Ethics problem that applies the Thirteenth Edition's IDDR Approach to business ethics).
- Critical Thinking and Writing Assignments (including a Time-Limited Group Assignment in every chapter, and a Business Law Writing or a Critical Legal Thinking question in selected chapters).

## **Supplements**

Business Law Today: The Essentials, Thirteenth Edition, provides a comprehensive supplements package designed to make the tasks of teaching and learning more enjoyable and efficient. The following supplements are available for instructors.

## MindTap Business Law for Business Law Today, Thirteenth Edition

Today's leading digital platform, MindTap, gives you complete control of your course—equipping you to craft unique learning experiences that challenge students, build confidence and elevate performance.

Use MindTap as-is or customize it to meet your specific needs. You can even integrate it easily into your institution's Learning Management System (LMS).

A streamlined learning path and redesigned assessments minimize reader distraction, while dual-pane assignments for students pair readings side-by-side with assessments.

MindTap presents complex concepts using a blend of engaging narrative and media assets clearly linked to assessments. So, students can start applying concepts to real-world situations from the beginning of your course with content that progresses from understanding core concepts to critical thinking and, ultimately, application.

Exclusive **Instructor Tools** allow you to customize course content to your needs and tailor assessments to match the specific language and style of your course. New **Instructor Reports** provide actionable insights into student performance and present opportunities for just-in-time intervention.

## **Product Features**

MindTap's outcomes-based learning design propels students from memorization to mastery. It's the only platform today that gives you complete ownership of your course. With MindTap you can challenge every student, build confidence and empower today's learners to be unstoppable.

## **Anchor Learning with Improved Learning Path Design**

MindTap helps students focus by dividing the Learning Path into groups of bite-size activities that are anchored to a single concept. MindTap presents concepts by pairing instructional content with assessment in a visually captivating side-by-side format.

## **Provide Learning on the Go**

Offer your students the flexibility they need to fit learning into their day—wherever they are and using whatever approach works best for them. Bite-size content and activities that students can complete on a smartphone or tablet keep learning engaging, even on the go.

## **Quick Lesson Videos Present Complex Topics Visually**

MindTap contains a variety of new and existing **Quick Lesson Videos**, placed within Learn It activities and in the **Additional Resources**, Quick Lessons folder in the MindTap, to reach all types of learners.

## **Access Everything You Need in One Place**

Cut down on prep with preloaded, organized course materials in MindTap. Teach more efficiently with interactive multimedia, assignments, quizzes and focused resources all on one screen. With MindTap you give your students the power to read, listen and study on their phones—so they can learn on their own terms.

## **Empower Students to Reach Their Potentials**

Gain actionable insights into student engagement with MindTap's twelve distinct metrics. Identify topics troubling your entire class and instantly communicate with struggling students. You can track your class' performance down to the learning objective and curate your lectures in real-time to respond to distinct class-wide needs. Students can track their scores and take the guesswork out of studying with performance reports and personalized study materials that help them progress toward their goals.

## **Control Your Course, Your Content**

Only MindTap gives you complete control of your course. You have the flexibility to reorder textbook chapters, add your own notes and embed a variety of content, including OER. Personalize course content to your students' needs by editing question text or answer choices. They can even read your notes, add their own and highlight key text to aid their progress.

#### Count on Our Dedicated Team, Whenever You Need Them

MindTap is not simply a comprehensive tool – it's a network of support from a personalized team eager to further your success. We're ready to help—from setting up your course to tailoring MindTap resources to meet your specific objectives. You'll be ready to make an impact from day one. And, we'll be right here to help you and your students throughout the semester—and beyond.

## **MindTap Table of Contents**

## Why Does [Topic] Matter to Me?

Immediately engage students with new activities that connect the upcoming chapter to an authentic, real-world scenario designed to pique engagement and emphasize relevance. Use these activities to ensure students read material before class and to trigger lively in-class discussion.

## **Chapter-Level eBook**

Immediately engage students with a dynamic eBook that brings the value, concepts and applications of the printed text to life. Students open an active learning experience as each chapter provides opportunities to interact with content using the approach that's best for the individual learner.

## **Learn It Activities**

Easily add multimedia instruction to your course to supplement textbook learning. Mind-Tap's Learn It activities offer small sections of instruction in the form of narrative, images, and/or Quick Lesson Videos that highlight the most important concepts in each chapter. Learn It activities reinforce the text's instruction and even approach concepts in a different way to promote student choice and autonomy with personalizing learning. You can assign Learn It activities to ensure that students have read and understand key concepts before class.

## **Check Your Understanding—Chapter Quizzes**

Use MindTap's Check Your Understanding quizzes to assess student performance and immediately identify class-wide learning needs.

## **Apply It Activities**

Assign any of MindTap's carefully designed, practically focused application activities to ensure your students know how to make business decisions through the lens of the law.

• Case Problem Analyses offer a multi-step activity that asks students to identify the facts in a scenario through a series of questions that promote a

- critical-thinking process so that students can arrive at the decision of the court. In the second part, the facts are changed, and students apply the same critical-thinking process on their own.
- Brief Hypotheticals help students spot the issue and apply the law in the context of a short, fictional scenario.

#### **Additional Resources**

- Business Cases develop students' skills to apply critical-thinking and legal reasoning through relevant real-world business scenarios.
- Quick Lesson Videos highlight the most important concepts in each chapter.
- PowerPoint Slides edited for student use offer visual outlines of each chapter.

## Cengage Testing Powered by Cognero

*Cengage Testing Powered by Cognero* is a flexible online system that allows instructors to do the following:

- Author, edit, and manage Test Bank content from multiple Cengage Learning solutions.
- Create multiple test versions in an instant.
- Deliver tests from their Learning Management System (LMS), classroom, or wherever they want.

**Start Right Away!** Cengage Testing Powered by Cognero works on any operating system or browser.

- Use your standard browser; no special installs or downloads are needed.
- Create tests from school, home, the coffee shop—anywhere with Internet access.

#### What Instructors Will Find

- *Simplicity at every step.* A desktop-inspired interface features drop-down menus and familiar, intuitive tools that take instructors through content creation and management with ease.
- Full-featured test generator. Create ideal assessments with a choice of fifteen
  question types—including true/false, multiple choice, opinion scale/Likert, and
  essay. Multi-language support, an equation editor, and unlimited metadata help
  ensure instructor tests are complete and compliant.
- Cross-compatible capability. Import and export content into other systems.

## Instructor Companion Website

The *Instructor's Companion Website* is an all-in-one resource for class preparation, presentation, and testing. Accessible through www.cengage.com/login with your faculty account, you will find available for download:

• Instructor's Manual. Includes activities and assessments for each chapter and their correlation to specific learning objectives, an outline, key terms with definitions, a chapter summary, and several ideas for engaging with students with discussion questions, ice breakers, case studies, and social learning activities that may be conducted in an on-ground, hybrid, or online modality.

- Answers Manual. Provides answers to all questions presented in the text, including the Focus Questions, the questions in each case and feature, the Issue Spotters, the Business Scenarios and Case Problems, Critical Thinking and Writing Assignments, and the unit-ending Task-Based Simulation features.
- Test Bank. A comprehensive test bank, offered in Blackboard, Moodle,
  Desire2Learn, and Canvas formats, contains learning objective-specific true-false,
  multiple-choice and essay questions for each chapter. Import the test bank into
  your LMS to edit and manage questions, and to create tests.
- *PowerPoint Slides*. Presentations are closely tied to the Instructor Manual, providing ample opportunities for generating classroom discussion and interaction. They offer ready-to-use, visual outlines of each chapter, which may be easily customized for your lectures.
- *Guide to Teaching Online*. Presents technological and pedagogical considerations and suggestions for teaching the Business Law course when you can't be in the same room with students.
- *Transition Guide*. Highlights all of the changes in the text and in the digital offerings from the previous edition to this edition.
- *Educator Guide*. Walks you through what the unique activities are in the MindTap, where you'll find them and how they're built for easier curriculum integration.

since I began this project many years ago, numerous business law professors and users of *Business Law Today* have been kind enough to help me revise the book and digital offerings, including the following:

John J. Balek Morton College, Illinois

John Jay Ballantine University of Colorado, Boulder

Lorraine K. Bannai Western Washington University

Marlene E. Barken Ithaca College, New York

Laura Barnard
Lakeland Community College, Ohio

Denise A. Bartles, J.D. Missouri Western State University

Daryl Barton Eastern Michigan University

Merlin Bauer Mid State Technical College, Wisconsin

Donna E. Becker Frederick Community College, Maryland

Richard J. Bennet
Three Rivers Community College, Connecticut

Dr. Anne Berre Schreiner University, Texas

Robert C. Bird University of Connecticut

Bonnie S. Bolinger Ivy Tech Community College, Wabash Valley Region, Indiana

Brad Botz Garden City Community College, Kansas

Teresa Brady Holy Family College, Pennsylvania

Dean Bredeson University of Texas at Austin

Lee B. Burgunder California Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo

Thomas D. Cavenagh North Central College, Illinois Bradley D. Childs
Belmont University, Tennessee

Corey Ciocchetti University of Denver, Colorado

Peter Clapp St. Mary's College, California

Dale Clark
Corning Community College, New York

Tammy W. Cowart University of Texas, Tyler

Stanley J. Dabrowski Hudson County Community College, New Jersey

Sandra J. Defebaugh Eastern Michigan University

Patricia L. DeFrain Glendale College, California

M. Yvonne Demory, J.D., LL.M. George Mason University

Julia G. Derrick Brevard Community College, Florida

Joe D. Dillsaver Northeastern State University, Oklahoma

Claude W. Dotson Northwest College, Wyoming

Larry R. Edwards
Tarrant County Junior College, South Campus, Texas

Jacolin Eichelberger Hillsborough Community College, Florida

George E. Eigsti Kansas City, Kansas, Community College

Florence E. Elliott-Howard Stephen F. Austin State University, Texas

Tony Enerva Lakeland Community College, Ohio

Benjamin C. Fassberg
Prince George's Community College, Maryland

Joseph L. Flack

Washtenaw Community College, Michigan

Jerry Furniss University of Montana

Joan Gabel Florida State University

Elizabeth J. Guerriero Northeast Louisiana University

Phil Harmeson University of South Dakota

Nancy L. Hart Midland College, Texas

Mo Hassan Cabrillo College, California

Andy E. Hendrick Coastal Carolina University, South Carolina

Janine S. Hiller Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University

Karen A. Holmes Hudson Valley Community College, New York

Fred Ittner College of Alameda, California

Susan S. Jarvis University of Texas, Pan American

Jack E. Karns
East Carolina University, North Carolina

Sarah Weiner Keidan Oakland Community College, Michigan

Richard N. Kleeberg
Solano Community College, California

Bradley T. Lutz Hillsborough Community College, Florida

Diane MacDonald Pacific Lutheran University, Washington

Darlene Mallick
Anne Arundel Community College, Maryland

John D. Mallonee Manatee Community College, Florida Joseph D. Marcus
Prince George's Community College, Maryland

Woodrow J. Maxwell Hudson Valley Community College, New York

Diane May Winona State University, Minnesota

Beverly McCormick Morehead State University, Kentucky

William J. McDevitt Saint Joseph's University, Pennsylvania

John W. McGee Aims Community College, Colorado

James K. Miersma Milwaukee Area Technical Institute, Wisconsin

Susan J. Mitchell Des Moines Area Community College, Iowa

Jim Lee Morgan West Los Angeles College, California

Jack K. Morton
University of Montana

Annie Laurie I. Myers Northampton Community College, Pennsylvania

Solange North
Fox Valley Technical Institute, Wisconsin

Jamie L. O'Brien South Dakota State University

Ruth R. O'Keefe Jacksonville University, Florida

Robert H. Orr Florida Community College at Jacksonville

George Otto Truman College, Illinois

Thomas L. Palmer Northern Arizona University

David W. Pan University of Tulsa, Oklahoma

xix

#### **xx** Acknowledgments

Victor C. Parker, Jr.
North Georgia College and State University

Jane Patterson
Ozarks Technical Community College and

Missouri State University

Donald L. Petote

Genesee Community College, New York

Francis D. Polk Ocean County College, New Jersey

Gregory Rabb
Jamestown Community College, New York

Brad Reid
Abilene Christian University, Texas

Anne Montgomery Ricketts University of Findlay, Ohio

Donald A. Roark University of West Florida

Joey Robertson
Sam Houston State University

Hugh Rode Utah Valley State College

Gerald M. Rogers Front Range Community College, Colorado

Dr. William J. Russell Northwest Nazarene University, Idaho William M. Rutledge Macomb Community College, Michigan

Roberto Sandoval University of Texas at El Paso

Martha Wright Sartoris North Hennepin Community College, Minnesota

Kurt M. Saunders California State University, Northridge

Anne W. Schacherl Madison Area Technical College, Wisconsin

Edward F. Shafer Rochester Community College, Minnesota

Lance Shoemaker, J.D., M.C.P., M.A.
West Valley College, California

Lou Ann Simpson Drake University, Iowa

Anthony A. Smith Ithaca College

Denise Smith
Missouri Western State College

Hugh M. Spall Central Washington University Elisabeth "Lisa" Sperow California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

Catherine A. Stevens College of Southern Maryland

Nicole Forbes Stowell, Esquire University of Southern Florida, St. Petersburg

Andrea Studzinski

Andrea Studzinski College of DuPage

Maurice Tonissi Quinsigamond Community College, Massachusetts

James D. Van Tassel Mission College, California

Russell A. Waldon College of the Canyons, California

Frederick J. Walsh
Franklin Pierce College, New Hampshire

James E. Walsh, Jr. Tidewater Community College, Virginia

Randy Waterman Richland College, Texas

Jerry Wegman University of Idaho

Edward L. Welsh, Jr. Phoenix College, Arizona

Whitney B. Westrich University of Cincinnati

Clark W. Wheeler Santa Fe Community College, Florida

Lori Whisenant University of Houston, Texas

Kay O. Wilburn
The University of Alabama at Birmingham

John G. Williams, J.D. Northwestern State University, Louisiana

Shallin S. Williams Tri-County Technical College

James L. Wittenbach University of Notre Dame, Indiana

Abby A. Wood, Esq. Mt. San Antonio College

Margaret M. Wright, J.D. LL.M. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Eric D. Yordy Northern Arizona University

Joseph Zavaglia, Jr.
Brookdale Community College, New Jersey

Alexandria Zylstra, J.D., LL.M. George Mason University

In addition, I give my thanks to the staff at Cengage, especially Abbie Schultheis, Associate Product Manager; Joe Sabatino, Product Director; Julia Chase, Senior Content Manager; Cazzie Reyes and Liz Harnage, Learning Designers; Lisa Elliot, Subject Matter Expert; Steven McMillian, Digital Delivery Lead; Nick Perez, Product Assistant; Ashley Maynard, Permissions Analyst; and Nick Barrows, Permissions Project Manager. I also thank Andrew Stock in Marketing. Additionally, I would like to thank my project manager Ann Borman at SPi Global, the compositor, for accurately generating pages for the text and making it possible for me to meet my ambitious schedule for the print and digital products.

I give special thanks to Katherine Marie Silsbee for managing the project and providing exceptional research and editorial skills. I also thank William Eric Hollowell, co-author of the *Answers Manual* for his excellent research efforts. I am grateful for the copyediting services of Beverly Peavler and proofreading services of Maureen Johnson. I also thank Vickie Reierson and Suzanne Jasin for their many efforts on this project.

R.L.M.

## Dedication

To Shawn,

Thanks for your magnificent research on this revision.

My readers will greatly appreciate it.

R.L.M.



# **Legal and Constitutional Foundations of Business**

In the chapter-opening quotation, Clarence Darrow asserts that law should be created to serve the public. Because you are part of that public, the law is important to you. In particular, those entering the world of business will find themselves subject to numerous laws and government regulations. A basic knowledge of these laws and regulations is beneficial—if not essential—to anyone contemplating a successful career in today's business environment.

Although the law has various definitions, all of them are based on the general observation that **law** consists of

enforceable rules governing relationships among individuals and between individuals and their society. In some societies, these enforceable rules consist of unwritten principles of behavior. In other societies, they are set forth in ancient or contemporary law codes. In the United States, our rules consist of written laws and court decisions created by modern legislative and judicial bodies. Regardless of how such rules are created, they all have one feature in common: they establish rights, duties, and privileges that are consistent with the values and beliefs of a society or its ruling group.

In this introductory chapter, we look at how business law and the legal environment affect business decisions. For instance, suppose that Mototron, Inc., plans to introduce a driverless car equipped with lidar, a radar system that relies on lasers, and artificially intelligent cameras. Even if its technicians put the vehicles through two million miles of testing on closed courses and deem them low risk, Mototron cannot simply start selling rides to consumers. The company must first test the cars on public roads, which requires permission from state governments. It must also establish safety rules with federal regulators and negotiate sustainable insurance rates. At each step, Mototron will have to adjust

"Laws should be like

clothes. They should

be made to fit the

people they are

meant to serve."

**Clarence Darrow** 

(American lawyer)

1857-1938

#### **Focus Questions**

The six Focus Questions below are designed to help improve your understanding. After reading this chapter, you should be able to answer the following questions:

- 1. What are four primary sources of law in the United States?
- 2. What is a precedent? When might a court depart from precedent?
- 3. What are some important differences between civil law and criminal law?
- 4. What constitutional clause gives the federal government the power to regulate commercial activities among the states?
- 5. What is the Bill of Rights?
  What freedoms does the First
  Amendment guarantee?
- **6.** Where in the Constitution can the due process clause be found?

Law A body of enforceable rules governing relationships among individuals and between individuals and their society. its bottom line to take account of the legal costs of introducing cutting-edge but potentially dangerous technology into the American marketplace.

Our goal in this text is not only to teach you about specific laws, but also to teach you how to think about the law and legal environment, and to develop your critical-thinking and legal reasoning skills. The laws may change, but the ability to analyze and evaluate the legal (and ethical) ramifications of situations as they arise is an invaluable and lasting skill.

## 1-1 Sources of American Law

There are numerous sources of American law. A source that establishes the law on a particular issue is called a **primary source of law**. Primary sources include the following:

- The U.S. Constitution and the constitutions of the various states.
- Statutory law—including laws passed by Congress, state legislatures, and local governing bodies.
- Regulations created by administrative agencies, such as the federal Food and Drug Administration.
- Case law (court decisions).

We describe each of these important primary sources of law in the following pages. (See the appendix at the end of this chapter for a discussion of how to find statutes, regulations, and case law.)

A **secondary source of law** is a book or article that summarizes and clarifies a primary source of law. Legal encyclopedias, compilations (such as *Restatements of the Law*, which summarize court decisions on a particular topic), official comments to statutes, treatises, articles in law reviews published by law schools, and articles in other legal journals are examples of secondary sources of law. Courts often refer to secondary sources of law for guidance in interpreting and applying the primary sources of law discussed here.

#### 1-1a Constitutional Law

The federal government and the states have written constitutions that set forth the general organization, powers, and limits of their respective governments. **Constitutional law**, which deals with the fundamental principles by which the government exercises its authority, is the law as expressed in these constitutions.

The U.S. Constitution is the basis of all law in the United States. It provides a framework for statutes and regulations, and thus is the supreme law of the land. A law in violation of the U.S. Constitution, if challenged, will be declared unconstitutional and will not be enforced, no matter what its source.

The Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution reserves to the states all powers not granted to the federal government. Each state in the union has its own constitution. Unless it conflicts with the U.S. Constitution or a federal law, a state constitution is supreme within that state's borders.

## 1-1b Statutory Law

Laws enacted by legislative bodies at any level of government, such as the statutes passed by Congress or by state legislatures, make up the body of law generally referred to as **statutory law**. When a legislature passes a statute, that statute ultimately is included in the federal code of laws or the relevant state code of laws.

Whenever a particular statute is mentioned in this text, we usually provide a footnote showing its **citation** (a reference to a publication in which a legal authority—such as a statute

#### Primary Source of Law A

source that establishes the law on a particular issue, such as a constitution, a statute, an administrative rule, or a court decision.

#### **Focus Question 1**

What are four primary sources of law in the United States?

#### Secondary Source of Law A

publication that summarizes or interprets the law, such as a legal encyclopedia, a legal treatise, or an article in a law review.

**Constitutional Law** The body of law derived from the U.S. Constitution and the constitutions of the various states.

Statutory Law The body of law enacted by legislative bodies (as opposed to constitutional law, administrative law, or case law).

Citation A reference to a publication in which a legal authority—such as a statute or a court decision—or other source can be found.

or a court decision—or other source can be found). In the appendix following this chapter, we explain how you can use these citations to find statutory law.

Statutory law also includes local ordinances. An **ordinance** is a regulation passed by a municipal or county governing unit to deal with matters not covered by federal or state law. Ordinances commonly have to do with city or county land use (zoning ordinances), building and safety codes, and other matters affecting only the local governing unit.

**Ordinance** A regulation enacted by a city or county legislative body that becomes part of that city's or county's statutory law.

**Applicability of Statutes** A federal statute, of course, applies to all states. A state statute, in contrast, applies only within the state's borders. State laws thus may vary from state to state. No federal statute may violate the U.S. Constitution, and no state statute or local ordinance may violate the U.S. Constitution or the relevant state constitution.

**Example 1.1** The tension between federal, state, and local laws is evident in the national debate over so-called sanctuary cities—cities that limit their cooperation with federal immigration authorities. Normally, law enforcement officials are supposed to alert federal immigration authorities when they come into contact with an undocumented immigrant. Then, immigration officials request the state and local authorities to detain the individual for possible deportation.

But a number of cities across the United States have adopted either local ordinances or explicit policies that do not follow this procedure. Police in these cities often do not ask or report the immigration status of individuals with whom



How have local "sanctuary cities" frustrated federal immigration procedures?

they come into contact. Other places refuse to detain undocumented immigrants who are accused of low-level offenses.

**Uniform Laws** During the 1800s, the differences among state laws frequently created difficulties for businesspersons conducting trade and commerce among the states. To counter these problems, a group of legal scholars and lawyers formed the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL, online at www.uniformlaws.org) in 1892 to draft **uniform laws** ("model statutes") for the states to consider adopting. The NCCUSL still exists today and continues to issue uniform laws.

Each state has the option of adopting or rejecting a uniform law. Only if a state legislature adopts a uniform law does that law become part of the statutory law of that state. Furthermore, a state legislature may choose to adopt only part of a uniform law or to rewrite the sections that are adopted. Hence, even though many states may have adopted a uniform law, those laws may not be entirely "uniform."

**The Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)** One of the most important uniform acts is the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), which was created through the joint efforts of the NCCUSL and the American Law Institute. The UCC was first issued in 1952 and has been adopted in all fifty states, the District of Columbia, and the Virgin Islands.

The UCC facilitates commerce among the states by providing a uniform, yet flexible, set of rules governing commercial transactions. Because of its importance in the area of commercial law, we cite the UCC frequently in this text. From time to time, the NCCUSL revises the articles contained in the UCC and submits the revised versions to the states for adoption.

Uniform Laws Model laws developed by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws for the states to consider enacting into statute.

<sup>1.</sup> This institute was formed in the 1920s and consists of practicing attorneys, legal scholars, and judges.

<sup>2.</sup> Louisiana has adopted only Articles 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9.

Administrative Law The body of law created by administrative agencies in order to carry out their duties and responsibilities.

Administrative Agency A federal, state, or local government agency created by the legislature to perform a specific function, such as to make and enforce rules pertaining to the environment.

Enabling Legislation A statute enacted by Congress that authorizes the creation of an administrative agency and specifies the name, composition, purpose, and powers of the agency being created.

Adjudicate To render a judicial decision. Adjudication is the trial-like proceeding in which an administrative law judge hears and resolves disputes involving an administrative agency's regulations.

Administrative Process The procedure used by administrative agencies in fulfilling their three basic functions: rulemaking, enforcement, and adjudication.

**Rulemaking** The process by which an administrative agency formally adopts a new regulation or amends an old one.

Legislative Rules Administrative agency rules that carry the same weight as congressionally enacted statutes.

#### 1-1c Administrative Law

Another important source of American law is **administrative law**, which consists of the rules, orders, and decisions of administrative agencies. An **administrative agency** is a federal, state, or local government agency established to perform a specific function.

Rules issued by various administrative agencies affect almost every aspect of a business's operations. Regulations govern a business's capital structure and financing, its hiring and firing procedures, its relations with employees and unions, and the way it manufactures and markets its products.

At the national level, numerous *executive agencies* exist within the cabinet departments of the executive branch. The Food and Drug Administration, for example, is an agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Executive agencies are subject to the authority of the president, who has the power to appoint and remove their officers.

There are also major *independent regulatory agencies* at the federal level, including the Federal Trade Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Federal Communications Commission. The president's power is less pronounced in regard to independent agencies, whose officers serve for fixed terms and cannot be removed without just cause.

There are administrative agencies at the state and local levels as well. Just as federal statutes take precedence over conflicting state statutes, so do federal agency regulations take precedence over conflicting state regulations.

**Agency Creation** Because Congress cannot possibly oversee the actual implementation of all the laws it enacts, it delegates such tasks to agencies. Congress creates an administrative agency by enacting **enabling legislation**, which specifies the name, composition, purpose, and powers of the agency being created.

**Example 1.2** The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) was created in 1914 by the Federal Trade Commission Act.<sup>3</sup> This act prohibits unfair and deceptive trade practices. It also describes the procedures the agency must follow to charge persons or organizations with violations of the act, and it provides for judicial review (review by the courts) of agency orders.

Other portions of the act grant the agency powers to "make rules and regulations for the purpose of carrying out the Act," and to conduct investigations of business practices. In addition, the FTC can obtain reports from interstate corporations concerning their business practices, investigate possible violations of the act, publish findings of its investigations, and recommend new legislation. The act also empowers the FTC to hold trial-like hearings and to **adjudicate** (resolve judicially) certain kinds of disputes involving its regulations.

Note that the powers granted to the FTC incorporate functions associated with the legislative branch of government (rulemaking), the executive branch (investigation and enforcement), and the judicial branch (adjudication). Taken together, these functions constitute the **administrative process**, which is the administration of law by administrative agencies. The administrative process involves rulemaking, enforcement, and adjudication.

**Rulemaking** A major function of an administrative agency is **rulemaking**—formulating new regulations or amending old ones. When Congress enacts an agency's enabling legislation, it confers the power to make **legislative rules**, or substantive rules, which are legally binding on all businesses.

The Administrative Procedure Act (APA)<sup>4</sup> imposes strict procedural requirements that agencies must follow in legislative rulemaking and other functions. **Example 1.3** The Occupational Safety and Health Act authorized the Occupational Safety and Health

<sup>3. 15</sup> U.S.C. Sections 45-58.

<sup>4. 5</sup> U.S.C. Sections 551–706.

Administration (OSHA) to develop and issue rules governing safety in the work-place. When OSHA wants to formulate rules regarding safety in the steel industry, it has to follow specific procedures outlined by the APA. If an agency fails to follow the APA's rulemaking procedures, the resulting rule may not be binding.

**Legislative Rules.** Legislative rulemaking under the APA typically involves the following three steps (referred to as *notice-and-comment rulemaking*).

- 1. *Notice of the proposed rulemaking*. The notice must be published in the *Federal Register*, a daily publication of the U.S. government.
- A comment period. The agency must allow ample time for interested parties to comment in writing on the proposed rule. The agency takes these comments into consideration when drafting the final version of the regulation.
- 3. *The final rule*. Once the agency has drafted the final rule, it is published in the *Federal Register*. (See the appendix at the end of this chapter for an explanation of how to find agency regulations.)



Which federal agency oversees worker safety?

**Interpretive Rules.** Administrative agencies also issue **interpretive rules** that are not legally binding but simply indicate how an agency plans to interpret and enforce its statutory authority. The APA does not apply to interpretive rulemaking. **Example 1.4** The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission periodically issues interpretive rules indicating how it plans to interpret the provisions of certain statutes, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act. These informal rules provide enforcement guidelines for agency officials.

**Enforcement and Investigation** Agencies often enforce their own rules and have both investigatory and prosecutorial powers. Agencies investigate a wide range of activities, including coal mining, automobile manufacturing, and the industrial discharge of pollutants into the environment.

In an investigation, an agency can request that individuals or organizations hand over specified books, papers, electronic records, or other documents. In addition, agencies may conduct on-site inspections, although a search warrant is normally required for such inspections.<sup>5</sup> Sometimes, a search of a home, an office, or a factory is the only means of obtaining evidence needed to prove a regulatory violation.

After investigating a suspected rule violation, an agency may decide to take action against an individual or a business. Most administrative actions are resolved through negotiated settlement at their initial stages without the need for formal adjudication. If a settlement cannot be reached, though, the agency may issue a formal complaint and proceed to adjudication.

**Adjudication** Agency adjudication involves a trial-like hearing before an **administrative law judge (ALJ)**. Hearing procedures vary widely from agency to agency. After the hearing, the ALJ renders a decision in the case. The ALJ can fine the charged party or prohibit the party from carrying on some specified activity.

Either the agency or the charged party may appeal the ALJ's decision to the commission or board that governs the agency. If the party fails to get relief there, appeal can be made to a federal court. Courts give significant weight (deference) to an agency's judgment and interpretation of its rules, though, and typically uphold the ALJ's decision unless it is unreasonable. If neither side appeals the case, the ALJ's decision becomes final.

Interpretive Rules Nonbinding rules or policy statements issued by an administrative agency that explain how it interprets and intends to apply the statutes it enforces.

#### **Administrative Law Judge**

(ALJ) One who presides over an administrative agency hearing and has the power to administer oaths, take testimony, rule on questions of evidence, and make determinations of fact.

<sup>5.</sup> In some heavily regulated industries, such as the sale of firearms or liquor, agencies can conduct searches without obtaining a warrant.

Case Law The rules of law announced in court decisions. Case law interprets statutes, regulations, and constitutional provisions, and governs all areas not covered by statutory or administrative law.

**Common Law** The body of law developed from custom or judicial decisions in English and U.S. courts, not attributable to a legislature.

#### Focus Question 2

What is a precedent? When might a court depart from precedent?

**Precedent** A court decision that furnishes an example or authority for deciding subsequent cases involving identical or similar facts.

**Stare Decisis** A common law doctrine under which judges are obligated to follow the precedents established in prior decisions.

#### 1-1d Case Law and Common Law Doctrines

The rules of law announced in court decisions constitute another basic source of American law. These rules of law include *interpretations* of constitutional provisions, of statutes enacted by legislatures, and of regulations created by administrative agencies.

Today, this body of judge-made law is referred to as **case law**. Case law—the doctrines and principles announced in cases—governs all areas not covered by statutory law or administrative law and is part of our common law tradition. We look at the origins and characteristics of the common law tradition in some detail in the discussion that follows.

## 1-2 The Common Law

Because of our colonial heritage, much American law is based on the English legal system. Knowledge of this system is crucial to understanding our legal system today because judges in the United States still apply common law principles when deciding cases.

## 1-2a Early English Courts

After the Normans conquered England in 1066, William the Conqueror and his successors began the process of unifying the country under their rule. One of the means they used to do this was the establishment of the king's courts, or *curiae regis*. Before the Norman Conquest, disputes had been settled according to the local legal customs and traditions in various regions of the country. The king's courts sought to establish a uniform set of rules for the country as a whole. What evolved in these courts was the beginning of the **common law**—a body of general rules that applied throughout the entire English realm. Eventually, the common law tradition became part of the heritage of all nations that were once British colonies, including the United States.

Courts developed the common law rules from the principles underlying judges' decisions in actual legal controversies. Judges attempted to be consistent, and whenever possible, they based their decisions on the principles suggested by earlier cases. They sought to decide similar cases in a similar way and considered new cases with care because they knew that their decisions would make new law. Each interpretation became part of the law on the subject and served as a legal **precedent**—that is, a court decision that furnished an example or authority for deciding subsequent cases involving identical or similar legal principles or facts.

In the early years of the common law, there was no single place or publication where court opinions, or written decisions, could be found. Beginning in the late thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries, however, portions of significant decisions from each year were gathered together and recorded in *Year Books*. The *Year Books* were useful references for lawyers and judges. In the sixteenth century, the *Year Books* were discontinued, and other reports of cases became available. (See the appendix to this chapter for a discussion of how cases are reported, or published, in the United States today.)

#### 1-2b **Stare Decisis**

The practice of deciding new cases with reference to former decisions, or precedents, eventually became a cornerstone of the English and U.S. judicial systems. The practice forms a doctrine called *stare decisis* <sup>6</sup> (a Latin phrase meaning "to stand on decided cases"). The doctrine of *stare decisis* helps the courts to be more efficient because if other courts

<sup>6.</sup> Pronounced stahr-ee dih-si-sis

have carefully reasoned through a similar case, their legal reasoning and opinions can serve as guides. (The legal reasoning process is described in Appendix A at the end of this text.)

Under the doctrine of *stare decisis*, judges are obligated to follow the precedents established within their jurisdictions. (The term *jurisdiction* refers to a geographic area in which a court or courts have the power to apply the law.) Once a court has set forth a principle of law as being applicable to a certain set of facts, that court must apply the principle in future cases involving similar facts. Courts of lower rank (within the same jurisdiction) must do likewise. Thus, *stare decisis* has two aspects:

- A court should not overturn its own precedents unless there is a strong reason to do so.
- 2. Decisions made by a higher court are binding on lower courts.

**Controlling Precedents** Precedents that must be followed within a jurisdiction are known as controlling precedents. Controlling precedents are binding authorities. A **binding authority** is any source of law that a court must follow when deciding a case. Binding authorities include constitutions, statutes, and regulations that govern the issue being decided, as well as court decisions that are controlling precedents within the jurisdiction. United States Supreme Court case decisions, no matter how old, remain controlling until they are overruled by a subsequent decision of the Supreme Court, by a constitutional amendment, or by congressional legislation.

**Departures from Precedent** Although courts are obligated to follow precedents, sometimes a court will depart from the rule of precedent. If a court decides that a precedent is simply incorrect or that technological or social changes have rendered the precedent inapplicable, the court may rule contrary to the precedent. Cases that overturn precedent often receive a great deal of publicity.

Classic Case Example 1.5 In Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka,<sup>7</sup> the United States Supreme Court expressly overturned precedent. The Court concluded that separate educational facilities for whites and blacks, which had previously been upheld as constitutional,<sup>8</sup> were inherently unequal. The Supreme Court's departure from precedent in the Brown decision received a tremendous amount of publicity as people began to realize the ramifications of this change in the law.

**When There Is No Precedent** Occasionally, courts must decide cases for which no precedents exist, called *cases of first impression*. For instance, as you will read throughout this text, the Internet and certain other technologies have presented many new and challenging issues for the courts to decide.

When deciding cases of first impression, courts often look at persuasive authorities. A **persuasive authority** is a legal authority that a court may consult for guidance but that is not binding on the court. A court may consider precedents from other jurisdictions, for instance, although those precedents are not binding. A court may also consider legal principles and policies underlying previous court decisions or existing statutes. Additionally, a court might look at fairness, social values and customs, and public policy (governmental policy based on widely held societal values). Federal courts can also look at unpublished opinions (those not intended for publication in a printed legal reporter) as sources of persuasive authority.<sup>9</sup>

**Binding Authority** Any source of law that a court *must* follow when deciding a case.

Know This
Courts normally must
follow the rules set
forth by higher courts
in deciding cases with
similar fact patterns.

**Persuasive Authority** Any legal authority or source of law that a court may look to for guidance but need not follow when making its decision.

<sup>7. 347</sup> U.S. 483, 74 S.Ct. 686, 98 L.Ed. 873 (1954)

<sup>8.</sup> See Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537, 16 S.Ct. 1138, 41 L.Ed. 256 (1896).

<sup>9.</sup> Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Remedy The relief given to an innocent party to enforce a right or compensate for the violation of a right.

Plaintiff One who initiates a lawsuit.

**Defendant** One against whom a lawsuit is brought or the accused person in a criminal proceeding.

#### **Know This**

Even though courts of law and equity have merged, the principles of equity still apply, and courts will not grant an equitable remedy unless the remedy at law is inadequate.

## 1-2c Equitable Remedies and Courts of Equity

A **remedy** is the means given to a party to enforce a right or to compensate for the violation of a right. **Example 1.6** Elena is injured because of Rowan's wrongdoing. If Elena files a lawsuit and is successful, a court can order Rowan to compensate Elena for the harm by paying her a certain amount. The compensation is Elena's remedy.

The kinds of remedies available in the early king's courts of England were severely restricted. If one person wronged another, the king's courts could award either money or property, including land, as compensation. These courts became known as *courts of law*, and the remedies were called *remedies at law*. Even though this system introduced uniformity in the settling of disputes, when a person wanted a remedy other than property or economic compensation, the courts of law could do nothing, so "no remedy, no right."

**Remedies in Equity** *Equity* is a branch of law—founded on notions of justice and fair dealing—that seeks to supply a remedy when no adequate remedy at law is available. When individuals could not obtain an adequate remedy in a court of law, they petitioned the king for relief. Most of these petitions were referred to the *chancellor*, an adviser to the king who had the power to grant new and unique remedies. Eventually, formal chancery courts, or *courts of equity*, were established. The remedies granted by the chancery courts were called *remedies in equity*.

**Plaintiffs** (those bringing lawsuits) had to specify whether they were bringing an "action at law" or an "action in equity," and they chose their courts accordingly. A plaintiff might ask a court of equity to issue a decree for *specific performance*—an order for the **defendant** (the one being sued) to perform what was promised. A court of equity could also issue an *injunction*, directing a party to do or refrain from doing a particular act. In certain cases, a court of equity could allow for the *rescission* (cancellation) of the contract, thereby returning the parties to the positions that they held prior to the contract's formation. Equitable remedies will be discussed in greater detail in the chapters covering contracts.

**The Merging of Law and Equity** Today, in most states, the courts of law and equity have merged, and thus the distinction between the two courts has largely disappeared. A plaintiff may now request both legal and equitable remedies in the same action, and the trial court judge may grant either form—or both forms—of relief.

The distinction between legal and equitable remedies remains significant, however, because a court normally will grant an equitable remedy only when the remedy at law (property or monetary damages) is inadequate. To request the proper remedy, a businessperson (or her or his attorney) must know what remedies are available for the specific kinds of harms suffered. Exhibit 1–1 summarizes the procedural differences (applicable in most states) between an action at law and an action in equity.

Exhibit 1-1 Procedural Differences between an Action at Law and an Action in Equity

PROCEDURE	ACTION AT LAW	ACTION IN EQUITY
Initiation of lawsuit	By filing a complaint	By filing a petition
Decision	By jury or judge	By judge (no jury)
Result	Judgment	Decree
Remedy	Monetary damages or property	Injunction, specific performance, or rescission

## 1-3 Classifications of Law

The law may be broken down according to several classification systems. One classification system divides law into **substantive law** (all laws that define, describe, regulate, and create legal rights and obligations) and **procedural law** (all laws that establish the methods of enforcing the rights established by substantive law).

**Example 1.7** A state law that provides employees with the right to workers' compensation benefits for any on-the-job injuries they sustain is a substantive law because it creates legal rights. Procedural laws, in contrast, establish the method by which an employee must notify the employer about an on-the-job injury, prove the injury, and periodically submit additional proof to continue receiving workers' compensation benefits. Note that a law may contain both substantive and procedural provisions.

Other classification systems divide law into federal law and state law, and private law (dealing with relationships between persons) and public law (addressing the relationship between persons and their governments). Frequently, people use the term **cyberlaw** to refer to the emerging body of law that governs transactions conducted via the Internet, but cyberlaw is not really a classification of law. Rather, it is an informal term used to refer to both new laws and modifications of traditional legal principles that relate to the online environment.

**Substantive Law** Law that defines, describes, regulates, and creates legal rights and obligations.

Procedural Law Law that establishes the methods of enforcing the rights established by substantive law.

**Cyberlaw** An informal term used to refer to all laws governing electronic communications and transactions, particularly those conducted via the Internet.

#### 1-3a Civil Law and Criminal Law

**Civil law** spells out the rights and duties that exist between persons and between persons and their governments, as well as the relief available when a person's rights are violated. Typically, in a civil case, a private party sues another private party who has failed to comply with a duty. Much of the law that we discuss in this text—including contract law and tort law—is civil law.

Note that *civil law* is not the same as a *civil law system*. A **civil law system** is a legal system based on a written code of laws. In nations with civil law systems, such as France and Mexico, statutes are the primary source of law, and case precedents are not binding on judges (*stare decisis* does not apply).

**Criminal law** has to do with wrongs committed against society for which society demands redress. Criminal acts are proscribed by local, state, or federal government statutes. Thus, criminal defendants are prosecuted by public officials, such as a district attorney (D.A.), on behalf of the state, not by their victims or other private parties.

Whereas in a civil case the object is to obtain a remedy (such as monetary damages) to compensate the injured party, in a criminal case the object is to punish the wrongdoer in an attempt to deter others from similar actions. Penalties for violations of criminal statutes consist of fines and/or imprisonment—and, in some cases, death.

#### Focus Question 3

What are some important differences between civil law and criminal law?

**Civil Law** The branch of law dealing with the definition and enforcement of all private or public rights, as opposed to criminal matters.

**Civil Law System** A system of law derived from Roman law that is based on codified laws (rather than on case precedents).

**Criminal Law** The branch of law that defines and punishes wrongful actions committed against the public.

#### 1-3b National and International Law

U.S. businesspersons increasingly engage in transactions that extend beyond our national borders. For this reason, those who pursue a career in business today should have an understanding of the global legal environment.

The law of a particular nation, such as Japan or Germany, is **national law**. National law, of course, varies from country to country because each country's law reflects the interests, customs, activities, and values that are unique to that nation's culture.

In contrast, international law applies to more than one nation. **International law** can be defined as a body of written and unwritten laws observed by independent nations and governing the acts of individuals as well as governments. It is a mixture of rules and constraints derived from a variety of sources, including the laws of individual nations, customs developed among nations, and international treaties and organizations.

**National Law** Law that pertains to a particular nation (as opposed to international law).

**International Law** Law that governs relations among nations.